

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/742,548

Applicant(s)

HEGEMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1746

All Participants:(1) Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.(2) Applicant's representative, Mr. Thomas M. Fisher.**Status of Application:** Previously Rejected(3) Applicant's representative, Mr. Rozell Williams Jr.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2004**Time:** 12:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Independent claim 1.

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,418,943 to Miller

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an attempt to compact prosecution and simplify issues, the Examiner contacted Applicant's representatives to request previously indicated allowable subject matter to be reinserted into claim 1 since the newly amended claim appears to again read on the Miller reference. Applicant's representative requested further reconsideration of the claim in view of the Miller reference in the issuance of a new Office Action.